

Overview of biometric quality

Elham Tabassi – NIST
Biometric Consortium 2009

23 September 2009



outline

- Why measure quality?
- What is meant by quality?
- What are they good for?
- How to assess quality of quality?
- What are the challenges in quality computation?

Why measure quality?

Push towards zero-error biometrics

- While recognition technologies are good at what they are being used, or contemplated for use, their performance drop in difficult operational scenarios and with imperfectly controlled data.
- Although only a small fraction of input data are of poor-quality, the bulk of recognition errors can be attributed to poor-quality samples.
- Improving quality either by sensor design, by user interface design, or by standards compliance, better performance can be realized.
- For those aspects of quality that cannot be designed-in, an ability to analyze the quality of a live sample is needed.

What is meant by quality?

Predictive of performance

Quality problem: “The Last 1%”


Or maybe “The Last 0.1% or 10%”

- » Fraction of samples that should not be sent to the matcher
 - finger, iris scanners have been designed specifically for the task, face cameras (mostly) have not
 - providing constructive feedback only possible if cause of poor quality is known

character, e.g. scar



behavior, e.g.
pose



environment, e.g. shadows
imaging, e.g. focus



What are the uses of quality?

▣ Initiating the reacquisition from a user

– Enrollment

- for credential issuance (visa, passport, access card, PIV)
- pruning the poorest quality samples (1.65% of dataset) reduced EER from .0047 to .0024

– Verification

- of the samples just captured which one to send for matching?
- or acquire still more?

– Identification

- is the subject offering a poor sample deliberately?

▣ Selective invocation of different processing methods

– Preprocessing phase

- image restoration algorithms (e.g., contrast adjustment) or a different feature extraction

– Matching phase

- invoke a slower but more powerful matching algorithm when low-quality samples are compared
- sending poor quality (NFIQ=4,5) to a more accurate (but perhaps costly) matcher reduced FNMR from 0.0136 to 0.0078 at FMR=0.001

– Decision phase

- quality directed fusion, dynamic threshold
- performing quality based multi-algorithm contingent likelihood ratio fusion reduced FNMR from 0.0136 to 0.0068 at FMR=0.001


▣ Sample replacement/Template update

- negate template aging

▣ Quality monitoring


- are some biometric field locations giving low quality?
- only in the evening?

Predictive of performance



A biometric quality assessment method derives a numerical quality value from an input biometric sample. The quality value is related to the biometric error rates that are likely to be realized when the sample is matched.

Quality: rank statistic for performance



BCC September 23, 2009


NFIQ::(fmr,fnmr) at fixed threshold

elham.tabassi@nist.gov

NIST fingerprint image quality


- NIST developed NFIQ in 2004
 - ÷ Open source
- Key innovation: quality as a rank statistic for performance
- NFIQ is a machine learning algorithm
 - ÷ Exploratory variables: image properties (minutiae, ridge density and clarity)
 - ÷ Response variable: separation of genuine and impostor comparison

NFIQ



- **feature extraction:** computes appropriate signal or image fidelity characteristics and results in an 11-dimensional feature vector.
- **neural network:** classifies feature vectors into five classes of quality based on various quantiles of the normalized match score distribution.
- **quality number:** an integer value between 1(highest) and 5 (poorest).

Error rates per NFIQ level




Quality of quality

- Biometric quality shall be evaluated based on its ability to predict performance.
 - ÷ e.g. error vs. reject curves
- Comparison of quality algorithms shall compare their effectiveness in predicting performance.

Quality challenges


- ▣ Scalar vs vector
- ▣ Matcher dependency
- ▣ How many levels?
- ▣ Pair-wise quality
- ▣ Calibration

How many levels?




Statistically different level of performance

Pair-wise quality - 1



when the enrollment sample is of good quality and better than that of the authentication sample, the authentication sample's quality is sufficient to predict performance.

pair-wise quality




Quality

WHAT AFFECTS QUALITY (IMAGE LEVEL)

- devise metrics for quantifying specific aspects of quality to
 - ÷ distinguish cause of poor quality (provide feedback)
 - ÷ Introduce tolerance (quality-by-design)
- design framework for assessing effect of quality
 - ÷ sensitivity analysis to each metric
 - ÷ statistical method for combining effects (neural net, svm, etc)
- perform analysis on large datasets of images
 - ÷ preferably on subset of images with specific defect (focus, pose, ...)

WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF QUALITY (APPLICATION LEVEL)

- devise metrics for quantifying the dependence of the accuracy of the core algorithms on
 - ÷ the quality of biometric samples (error vs reject), and
 - ÷ systematic quality variation (quality summarization procedure)
- design framework for assessing effect of quality on accuracy and security
 - ÷ how quality of enrolled samples affects probability of false accept?
 - ÷ How about probability of false reject?
- perform analysis on large datasets of images
 - ÷ examine the role of quality in biometric zoo



BCC September 23, 2009

elham.tabassi@nist.gov

17


Thank You

Elham Tabassi
tabassi@nist.gov

301 975 5292

www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/quality

Dependence on matcher





Each point corresponds to one algorithm.

BCC September 23, 2009

elham.tabassi@nist.gov

19

Quality score interpretation



Calibration Curve: Error vs reject : NFIQ

